



IFW

PTO/SB/21 (09-04)

Approved for use through 07/31/2006. OMB 0651-0031

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office; U.S. DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

Under the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995, no persons are required to respond to a collection of information unless it displays a valid OMB control number.

TRANSMITTAL FORM (to be used for all correspondence after initial filing)	Application Number	10/510,565	
	Filing Date	October 8, 2004	
	First Named Inventor	Godo SAKAMOTO	
	Art Unit	1774	
	Examiner Name	N. O. Edwards	
Total Number of Pages in This Submission	3	Attorney Docket Number	204552033700

ENCLOSURES (Check all that apply)

<input type="checkbox"/> Fee Transmittal Form <input type="checkbox"/> Fee Attached <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Amendment/Reply <input type="checkbox"/> After Final <input type="checkbox"/> Affidavits/declaration(s) <input type="checkbox"/> Extension of Time Request <input type="checkbox"/> Express Abandonment Request <input type="checkbox"/> Information Disclosure Statement <input type="checkbox"/> Certified Copy of Priority Document(s) <input type="checkbox"/> Reply to Missing Parts/Incomplete Application <input type="checkbox"/> Reply to Missing Parts under 37 CFR 1.52 or 1.53	<input type="checkbox"/> Drawing(s) <input type="checkbox"/> Licensing-related Papers <input type="checkbox"/> Petition <input type="checkbox"/> Petition to Convert to a Provisional Application <input type="checkbox"/> Power of Attorney, Revocation Change of Correspondence Address <input type="checkbox"/> Terminal Disclaimer <input type="checkbox"/> Request for Refund <input type="checkbox"/> CD, Number of CD(s) _____ <input type="checkbox"/> Landscape Table on CD	<input type="checkbox"/> After Allowance Communication to TC <input type="checkbox"/> Appeal Communication to Board of Appeals and Interferences <input type="checkbox"/> Appeal Communication to TC (Appeal Notice, Brief, Reply Brief) <input type="checkbox"/> Proprietary Information <input type="checkbox"/> Status Letter <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Other Enclosure(s) (please identify below): Return Receipt Postcard
Remarks		

SIGNATURE OF APPLICANT, ATTORNEY, OR AGENT

Firm Name	MORRISON & FOERSTER LLP		
Signature			
Printed name	Barry E. Bretschneider		
Date	November 14, 2006	Reg. No.	28,055



PATENT
Docket No.: 204552033700

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

In re Patent Application of:
Godo SAKAMOTO et al.

Application No.: 10/510,565

Confirmation No.: 9036

Filed: October 8, 2004

Art Unit: 1774

For: POLYETHYLENE FILAMENT AND A
PROCESS FOR PRODUCING THE SAME

Examiner: Newton O. Edwards

RESPONSE TO RESTRICTION REQUIREMENT

MS Amendment
Commissioner for Patents
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

Sir:

In response to the Action mailed October 17, 2006, applicants elect the claims of Group I, claims 1-5, *with traverse*.

The Examiner has based the restriction requirement upon his identification of a special technical feature in Group I that he says is not present in the other groups. However, the Examiner's identification of this special technical feature, "a polyethylene filament having a tensile strength, tensile modulus, and index value of circular knit" is repeated in the remaining groups of product claims identified by the Examiner. For example, independent claim 6, in Group II, recites as a primary component of a fibrous material for reinforcing cement mortar or concrete the same polyethylene filament as is set forth more or less in claim 1. Claim 10 is directed to a composition according to claim 9, which incorporates the same filament as claim 6, so it too is directed to the same technical features. By the same token, claims 11 and 12, Group IV, are directed to a rope but containing the same essential filament as set forth in claim 1. As a


result, applicants respectfully submit that the Examiner has incorrectly held that the special technical feature of claim 1 is not found in the remaining product claims identified by the Examiner in the Action. Accordingly, applicants respectfully submit that the claims of Groups I-IV, claims 1-12, should be examined together in this application. Applicants also note that claim 17 is very similar to these claims but that examination of claim 17 would not involve any further search above that required for claims 1-12.

Early action withdrawing the restriction requirement in part and acting on claims 1-12 as the elected claims is solicited.

In the event that the transmittal letter is separated from this document and the Patent and Trademark Office determines that an extension and/or other relief is required, applicants petition for any required relief including extensions of time and authorize the Commissioner to charge the cost of such petitions and/or other fees due in connection with the filing of this document to **Deposit Account No. 03-1952** referencing **204552033700**.

Dated: November 14, 2006

Respectfully submitted,

By: 
Barry E. Bretschneider
Registration No. 28,055

Morrison & Foerster LLP
1650 Tysons Boulevard, Suite 300
McLean, Virginia 22102
Telephone: (703) 760-7743
Facsimile: (703) 760-7777